

©
Government of Kerala
കേരള സർക്കാർ
2010



Reg. No. രജി. നമ്പർ
KL/TV(N)/12/2009-2011

KERALA GAZETTE

കേരള ഗസറ്റ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

Vol. LV വാല്യം 55	} THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ	2nd March 2010 2010 മാർച്ച് 2	} No. നമ്പർ	9
		11th Phalguna 1931 1931 ഫാൽഗുനം 11		

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 141/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 25th January 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Managing Director, Karimtharuvi Estate Limited, Malankara Building, Kodimatha, Kottayam-2 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the President, The Plantation Employees Union of South India, Thekkumkal Buildings, Collectorate P. O., Kottayam-2 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for

adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the denial of employment to Shri Thomson Mathew, working as Field Officer in Karimtharuvi Tea Estate of Malankara Plantations by the management is justifiable?
2. If not, what relief the workman is entitled to ?

(2)

G O. (Rt.) No. 179/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 1st February 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Manager, Caradygoody Estate, Caradygoody P. O., Vandiperiyar and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the President, The Estate Staff and Employees Union of South India, Thekkunkal Buildings, Collectorate P.O., Kottayam-2 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the dismissal of Sivakumar, 3103, Factory Worker by the management of Caradygoody Estate, Vandiperiyar is justifiable?
2. If not, what are the reliefs he is entitled to ?

(3)

G O. (Rt.) No. 235/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 9th February 2010.

Read:—(1) Letter No. I (1)6464/09 dated 8-1-2010 from the Labour Commissioner, Thiruvananthapuram.

(2) G O. (Rt.) No. 112/2010/LBR dated 19-1-2010.

(3) Letter No. I(1) 6464/09 dated 28-1-2010 from the Labour Commissioner, Thiruvanan-

thapuram.

Labour Commissioner, in his letter read as first paper above recommended to refer an industrial dispute between the management and workmen of M/s. New Indian Express, Kochi to the Industrial Tribunal, Alappuzha.

2. As per Government Order read as second paper above, the said industrial dispute was referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal Alappuzha.

3. Labour Commissioner, in his letter read as third paper above, has requested that the name of the management in the government order may be amended as M/s. New Indian Express, Kochi eliminating the term "General Manager".

4. The Labour Commissioner, also recommended to the change the 4th point in the terms of reference in the said Government Order as follows:

"During the pendency of conciliation proceedings, whether the action of the management in transferring 9 employees to various place is justifiable or not?"

5. Government have examined the matter in detail and order following amendments in the Government Order read as second paper;

- (i) The address of the management referred to in the Government Order will be amended as "M/s. New Indian Express Kochi", eliminating the word "General Manager",
- (ii) The fourth point in the Terms of Reference in the Government Order will be amended as follows:

"Whether the action of the management in transferring 9 (Nine) employees to various places during the pendency of conciliation proceedings is justifiable or not?"

6. The Government order read as second paper above stands modified to the above extent.

(4)

G O. (Rt.) No. 236/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 9th February 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. The President, Perumkuzhi Ksheerolpadaka Sahakarana Sanghom Limited No. T. 29(D), APCOS, Perumkuzhi P. O., Thiruvananthapuram. 2. The Secretary, Perumkuzhi Ksheerolpadaka Sahakarana Sanghom Limited No. T. 29(D), APCOS, Perumkuzhi P.O., Thiruvananthapuram and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri K. Murukesan, Puthuval Puthen Veedu, TC No. 78-3665, Rajeev Nagar, Beach P. O., Thiruvananthapuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is

necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10(1) (c) of the Industrial Dispute Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the termination of Service of Shri K. Murkesan, Milk Tester, Perumkuzhi Ksheerolpadaka Sahakarana Sanghom Limited No. T. 29(D), APCOS, Perumkuzhi by the management is justifiable or not? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(5)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 237/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 9th February 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Senior Manager, Isfield Estate, Harrisons Malayalam Limited, Kalthuritty P. O. and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the President, The Plantation Employees Union of South India, Thekkumkal Buildings, Collectorate P. O., Kottayam-2 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct

that the said Industrial Dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the dismissal of Shri John M. Mathew, Section Officer, Isfield Estate, Harrisons Malayalam Limited, Kalthuritty P. O., Kollam by the management is justifiable or not?
2. If not, what remedy can be extended to the workman?

(6)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 238/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 9th February 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Director, Institute of Communicative and Cognitive Neuro Sciences, Kavalappara, Shoranur, Palakkad and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri Arunkumar, S/o Aravindakshan, Ushus, 13/412, Kattil Madathil, C/o. Jagadeesh, Koonathara P. O., Shoranur, Palakkad in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said Industrial Dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri Arunkumar, Office Attender by the mangement of ICCONS, Kavalappara, Shornur is justifiable or not? If not, what releif he is entitled to get?

By order of the Governor,

G. SIVAPRASAD,

Under Secretary to Government.